

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,459	04/16/2004	Bradley C. Aldrich	MP1505 FHFGD 10368.0007-0	1488
68933 MARVELL/FI	7590 08/13/2007 INNEGAN HENDERSOI	N LLP	EXAMINER	
c/o FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARNETT et. al. 901 NEW YORK AVENUE			MAI, TAN V	
	N, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
		,		·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	08/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	•		\sim		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/826,459	ALDRICH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tan V. Mai	2193			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover si	heet with the correspondence addres	ss		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COM R 1.136(a). In no event, however riod will apply and will expire SIX atute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. r, may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	6 July 2007.				
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19:	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-10,29-31 and 33-35</u> is/are p	ending in the applicatio	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2, 4-10, 29-31 and 33-35</u> is/are	rejected.	•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requireme	ent.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		ted to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	-				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the d	lrawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	l.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the at	tached Office Action or form PTO-	152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	'				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•		ge		
application from the International Bu		•	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copi	es not received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Int	erview Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pa	per No(s)/Mail Date	۵۱		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date		etice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15) her:	2)		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-,				

Art Unit: 2193

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Page 2

2. Claims 1-2, 4-10, 29-31 and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Rejection grounds continue to be those set forth in the previous office action (Paper dated 4/27/07, paragraph 2).

3. Applicants' arguments filed on 7/26/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants, in their remarks, argue that: "[a]pplicants respectfully disagree with this rejection. However, to further prosecution and without conceding the rejection, Applicants have amended claim 1 to clarify that the claimed invention is a method of "performing dual multiply-accumulate operations in a data processing system" which is itself a practical application. The final product of claim 1 is a "useful, concrete and tangible result" that is an additional basis for patentability. Claim 29 has been amended in a manner similar to claim 1 and additionally to clarify that filter coefficients are output". (emphasis added).

With respect to the arguments, the examiner carefully reviews Applicant's specification and claimed invention. It is noted that applicants haven't pointed out how/why the claim produces a **useful, concrete, and tangible result**. If the claim as a

Application/Control Number: 10/826,459 Page 3

Art Unit: 2193

whole is reasonably interpreted as just solving a mathematical algorithm rather than reciting a **practical application** of the algorithm which produces a useful, concrete and tangible result, then it would be non-statutory. In order for claims to be statutory, claims must include a practical application with a concrete, useful, and tangible result. However, claims 1-2, 4-10, 29-31 and 33-35 merely disclose elements for performing mathematical function <u>without disclosing</u> a **practical application with a concrete**, **useful, and tangible result, as they are pre-emptive in any application**. Therefore, claims 1-2, 4-10, 29-31 and 33-35 are directed to non-statutory subject matter. Therefore, the rejection is still proper.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,459 Page 4

Art Unit: 2193

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3726. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Wed and Fri. from 9:30am to 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is:

Official

(571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Tan V. Mai Primary Examiner